

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,686	09/30/2004		Mark A. Fredette	20.2915	5685
23718	7590	06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
		OILFIELD SERV	FULTON, CHRISTOPHER W		
200 GILLIN	GHAM L	ANE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MD 200-9 SUGAR LA	ND, TX	77478		2859	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/711,686	FREDETTE ET AL.	FREDETTE ET AL.		
Examiner	A at 11 at			
LAGITITIE	Art Unit			

	Christopher W. Fulton	2859						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ee appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		·					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected ciaims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.id.it. / iii.o.iid.iii.o.ii	(1. 7.0.2.02.1).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and but does NOT also a through	adian in esergine	allawassas					
 11. \infty The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: 	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for	allowance					
See Continuation Sheet.			1					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)/	1 / ///					
13. Other:		MA	Alth					
		Mun f	411					
•	-28	Christopher W. Full Primary Examiner	ton					
		Art Unit: 2859						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments concerning claims 1 and 21 are not persuasive because the references are argued separately and the relative positioning of the cam in Hunt-Grubbe is what is being modified by Groner et al not the type of sensor. The arguments concerning claim 11 are not persuasive because the Hunt-Grubbe does not specifically teach away from the pivoting pad, but the Smith et al reference is being used to modify the contact of Hunt-Grubbe to a pivoting pad.